Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,480	RUBIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BEN C. WANG	2192
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Mr. Joseph T. Cygan (reg. no. 50,937).	(3)	
(2) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 14, and 19 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/767,480

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, 14, and 19 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively recting 'write mask' limitations.

Mr. Cygan authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.